

FILED

DEC -1 2023 30

1:23-cv-02704

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Dear Judge Alonso-

This case is extremely important. The EEOC was unable to do any investigation into these incidents because they cited lack of resources to investigate.

This lawsuit is the ONLY record and only review of evidence and facts of these events.

I am reporting on behalf of MANY other people. These people were unable to report because of threats, bribery and more - many people said they were "afraid" to Officially report, "afraid" to lose their jobs - to lose basic life necessities - if they reported.

People explained that reporting results in retaliation that is life devastating - but that it also results in retaliation against entire groups of targetted populations, entire groups of vulnerable people.

Reporting is apparently worse for people than staying quiet and enduring abuse, exploitation, harassment, bullying, sexual abuse, and reporting is worse than participating in crimes such as Data Fabrication, Scientific Fraud, and more - even though the people reporting these crimes do not want to be a part of the crimes.



This case is extremely important.

The individuals accused of committing crimes, people benefiting from the crimes, people going along with the crimes in order to gain favor with people in power - these corrupted scientists used a fraudulent argument that the Title IX Reporting Process was "harassing" them. These Corrupted Scientists, Respondents to Title IX Reports of Criminal Activities, falsely claimed that I was "harassing" them ~~in~~ by reporting them to Title IX and Proper Authorities.

I am asking for the USA ~~Judicial~~ Judicial System to please rule on whether this Title IX Reporting Process is "harassing" the Respondents, or accused Criminals, in the



## Title IX Reports or not.

At this point in history, the United States is in turmoil over the argument that the Police in America are "harassing" American citizens - when the police claim to be doing their jobs. These arguments have become focused on race-~~ism~~ based "harassment" perpetrated by the Police, and the Country seems to be siding against the Police, is defunding the Police, and is siding with the argument that people are being "harassed" by the police. Simultaneously at this point in history, drugs have been legalized and people, and activities that were once thought to be criminal, are now common place and part of every day life in America.

This same argument used at the Police level is being used by the Defendants in my lawsuit to shut down multiple Federal Investigations into Criminal Activities, into Threats to Human Life, into Informant Reports for Mandatory Reporting processes designed to save people's lives.

This same argument used at the Police level is being used at the Federal level by Scientists working with Human Subjects, working in Hospitals, working as Engineers with access to genomics data about people around the world, geo-location for people around the world, super-computer access and much more. The Defendants in my lawsuit



have successfully shut down International Detectives and Investigations into reported crimes - reports mandated by Mandatory Reporting Processes, and by the laws - by simply claiming reporting the Defendant's crimes is "harassment".

⇒ I am asking the USA Courts to please rule on these arguments the Defendants are making, based on the evidence and facts of the case, so that a ruling can be made as to whether it is "harassment" to Report Threats to Human Life or not.

Federal Questions: Is it "harassment" to report Threats to Human Life, and to report to Title IX?

Is it "harassment" to report to the EEOC?

Is it "harassment" to report to the Police?

Is it "harassment" to follow Mandatory Reporting Processes and orders from Proper Authorities to report Crimes?

---

If the Courts find that these Protected Activities are in fact Protected, and if the Courts find that it is legally not "harassment" to engage in these protected activities, then I have asked for the Relief or Resolutions to reinstate me to my job / PhD position, correct the illegal transcript damages and records done to me by UoN, UI as part of the Retaliation against me for



reporting the crimes I was forced to report by Mandatory Reporting Processes, and by the Laws, including International Laws.

---

The Defendants were in fact Harassing me and Threatening me, and attempting to Bribe me as others reported the Defendants were also doing to them.

I am asking the Courts to please review the evidence and facts of the Case, which have NEVER been reviewed to this day, despite all of the illegal, unconstitutional "mock" trials held against me at University of Illinois by OSCR (Office of Student Conflict Resolution) Director Justin Brown who uses "Subjective Review" or personal opinion to make his rulings against

(My Cousin Vinny)



"Students / Student Employees" and illegally, Unconstitutionally fine / expell the "Students / Student Employees" that he wres against with totalitarian subjective, corrupted authority.

Federal Question:

I am asking the Courts for a ruling on whether or not the "sexual harassment" and "harassment", "threats", bullying etc. I reported constitutes

- "sexual harassment"
- "harassment"
- "threats"
- "bullying"
- "bribery"
- etc.

And I am hoping my evidence shows why Misclassifying Employees as "Students / Student Employees" is extremely dangerous, and life threatening due to the seriousness of our jobs/work.

Federal Question:

I am asking please for a Court Ruling to Confirm that these Positions are in fact Labor Law Violations, and illegal Missclassifications of Full-time Employees as "Students / Student Employees".

Federal Question:

I am asking for a Ruling on whether or not the "Mock Trials" held at University of ~~Massachusetts~~ Illinois are illegal Constitutional Rights Violations, based on the evidence of my case.

Federal Question:

I am asking for a Ruling please on whether or not the withholding of ~~my~~ records about me, including charges filed against me by Respondents in the Title IX, Deans, etc. Reports that I filed, is 'Unconstitutional or not-



and whether or not it is a Civil, State, Federal Law Violation.

Federal Question:

I am asking for a Ruling on whether it is illegal for Defendants, University of Illinois, Google, etc. to use their internal Institutional Systems to attack and harm me (1) during the reporting processes to Title IX and Proper Authorities and (2) after the reporting processes to Title IX and Proper Authorities.

Federal Question:

(A) Retaliate, and (B) Impede Federal, International Investigations from Proper Authorities when the Defendants (1) graded me down at Google, (2) ~~as~~ blocked and withdrew job offers made to me, made me ineffectual to

receive new job offers,  
(3) approved "OSCR" and VI  
administrative disciplinary  
procedures against me,  
(4) ~~ordered me to~~ demanded  
I leave the Registrar's Office,  
Deans Offices 2019, (5) approved  
charges filed against me with  
Stephen Bryan / Deans / Chancellors/  
etc, which were filed by  
Respondents in Title IX Reports  
and Reports to Proper Authorities.

Federal Question:  
Was it illegal Retaliation  
for VI to fire/expell me?

Federal Question:  
Was it a Labor Law Violation  
to forcibly alter my Contracts  
with VI, while holding me  
under duress?

Do these acts constitute  
impeding current and/or future  
Federal Reports, and Reports to



Proper Authorities by making an example out of me to others, and by ~~punishing me~~ ~~harass~~ blocking my reports by harming/punishing me.

Do these acts Constitute Retaliation?

---

Do the acts of the Title IX Office 2018, 2019 Constitute illegal prejudice against me?

Do the acts of the Title IX Office 2018, 2019 Constitute illegal Retaliation for reporting the Title IX office?

Do the acts of the Title IX Office 2018, 2019 prove a Corrupted, 'Conflict-of-Interest' motive by Director and agents in the Title IX Office?

Was it illegal, and/or inhumane for the Title IX Office to force me against my will to engage in reporting procedures for Zoufu Cheng at that point in time 2019, and/or in the manner that Title IX demanded?

Did University of Illinois follow proper Mandatory Reporting Laws, Proper legal Procedures for handling Data Fabrication, Scientific Fraud, Medical Malpractice, Threats to Human Life?

---

Federal Question:

Did Google steal my Intellectual Property, despite Google's claims that their actions are not IP Theft on the grounds that Google claims my IP has no "value"?



What percentage of the Google Products and Services impacted by my IP ~~was~~ is owned by VoN, myself, Google?

Did Google illegally impede International / British Investigations into crimes perpetrated in their country?

Did Google abuse its power over me as my "Academic" Employer ~~by~~ in order to Retaliate / Punish me for reporting Google employees and/or did Google abuse its power over me and their internal reporting processes to develop a falsified paper trail against me, fabricate evidence

against me to evade  
"legal liability", legal  
punishments, fines, ~~and~~  
~~more~~ evade being found  
guilty for crimes committed  
and more?

Did University of Illinois  
do the same?

~~Does~~

Is it illegal for Universities  
and Companies connected  
through financial benefits  
to retaliate against  
informants reporting crimes  
the Companies and Universities  
are committing - does my  
case prove a coordinated,  
mutually beneficial effort  
~~to~~ for University of Illinois  
and Google to undermine  
my reports to Proper  
Authorities?



They develop technology  
you need for Defense,  
using your resources and  
money and training, then  
they withhold the information  
from you, making it  
inaccessible, and then  
they sell it back to  
you.

## Federal Question:

Is the amount of graduate credit, degrees earned, Academic Internship Work Completed, Unpaid Laboratory Research Completed, equivalent to the work required for a PhD -

If Retaliation perpetrated by Defendants is proven, can a ruling be made about Corrupted motives for denying me my degree and illegally removing me from my position?

In a peer-review system Corrupted Scientists benefit in their careers and financially by illegally denying degrees and credits to other Scientists who hold differing Scientific Viewpoints, and who report Corruption and Fraud within the Scientific Community.



## Federal Question:

The Title IX Reporting process is a Protected Activity, and yet Defendants made the Fraudulent claim that I was using the Title IX Reporting process to get "Special Treatment" at University of Illinois - is it legal for Defendants to make this claim for a Protected Activity?

Based on the evidence of my case, does the Court believe I used Title IX to get "special treatment"?

— Is it illegal to abuse Academic Freedom Laws to Commit Federal, Civil, State Crimes and ~~etc~~ / or block Authorities' jurisdiction over investigating said crimes?

Is it illegal to abuse Academic Freedom Laws to assume Tyrannical levels of unquestionable power and authority, with proven attempts to overrule the equal distribution of the Constitutional Rights given to American Citizens?

Is it illegal for <sup>Defendants,</sup> Scientists to dictate which American Citizens will be allowed to have Constitutional Rights, and which American Citizens will be denied their constitutional Rights.

Is it legal to use medical exams or Science to diagnose American Citizens ~~as~~ ~~the~~ as being unfit to have Constitutional Rights?



Is it legal for an  
Academic Institution  
or a Company to block  
Police, Federal Agents,  
Proper Authorities from  
Investigating crimes  
on-campus?

Does the Authority of  
individuals within a  
Company or School  
Supersede the Authority  
of the American  
Government, British  
Government, Police, Federal  
Agents and Proper Authorities?

Does my evidence prove that  
University of Illinois is in  
violation of its tax-exempt  
status as an "Academic  
Institution" because it is

in fact not conducting  
itself as a non-profit,  
Academic Institution

"Students" are  
misclassified as "Students/  
Student Employees"  
when they are in fact  
unpaid full-time employees  
violated with Labor Law  
Violations, disguised ~~as~~ under  
Academic Freedom Laws,  
policies.

My case proves that the  
contractually mandated  
"value" exchange for my  
free labor is paid to  
me and my cohort in the  
form of Academic Courses  
- however VI illegally  
blocked Proper Authorities  
from ensuring my safety on  
campus (evidence emails  
proves) and also refused to  
provide safe work and  
educational environments for me



- forcing me to study off-campus and pay out-of-pocket for courses I took, and raise my own funds by ~~the~~ illegally forcing me to pay UI through corporate sponsors  $\Rightarrow$  I was in fact never paid in Academic Courses or Education, or learning opportunities or degrees for my unpaid labor.

Furthermore, Zvofu Cheng was allowed by UI to illegally refuse to allow me to enroll in Engineering classes at UI (which are contractual forms of payment for my unpaid labor) because he wanted to have sex with me and knew it violates UI policies to ~~sh~~ have sex with students enrolled in professors' classes.

- UI policies say it is

legal for Professors to have sex with Students /Student Employees ~~on~~ at VI as long as the Students/Student Employees are not enrolled in the Professors' Classes — I am asking the Courts to rule that this is an illegal violation of Women's' Rights and it creates a hostile work, academic environment where my case proves Professors are allowed by VI to sexually harass students and to abuse their positions of power to ruin Students/ Employees careers for refusing to have sex with them.

I am asking for Defendants to pay me in the contractually obligated manner with classes I was trying to take at



VI but I was blocked illegally from taking by Defendants due to sex based crimes, Retaliation, Corruption, blocks to reporting/ investigations and more.

I would like to please finish my education and degree - to not be discriminated against because I am a Woman or because I cooperated with Mandatory Reporting processes to ensure Campus safety, report Threats to Human Life, and ensure the safety of the American People, as it is my Duty as an American Citizen and Doctor entrusted with this training to do so - it is our duty to serve ~~and~~ the American People and help people do their jobs to protect the American people.